



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

Note on the so-called Quotient G/H in the Theory of Groups.

BY PROF. CAYLEY.

The notion (see Hölder, "Zur Reduction der algebraischen Gleichungen," Math. Ann., t. XXXIV (1887), §4, p. 31) is a very important one, and it is extensively made use of in Mr. Young's paper, "On the Determination of Groups whose Order is the Power of a Prime," Amer. Math. Jour., t. XV (1893), pp. 124–178; but it seems to me that the meaning is explained with hardly sufficient clearness, and that a more suitable algorithm might be adopted, viz. instead of $G_1 = G/\Gamma_1$ I would rather write $G = \Gamma_1 \cdot QG_1$ or $QG_1 \cdot \Gamma_1$.

We are concerned with a group G containing as part of itself a group Γ_1 such that each element of Γ_1 is commutative with each element of G . This being so, we may write

$$G = QG_1 \cdot \Gamma_1,$$

where QG_1 is not a group but a mere array of elements, viz. if $\Gamma_1 = (1, A_2, \dots, A_s)$, and $QG_1 = (1, B_2, \dots, B_t)$, then the formula is

$$G = (1, B_2, \dots, B_t)(1, A_2, \dots, A_s),$$

where it is to be noticed that the elements B are not determinate; in fact, if A_θ be any element of Γ_1 , we may, in place of an element B , write BA_θ , for $B(1, A_2, \dots, A_s)$ and $BA_\theta(1, A_2, \dots, A_s)$ are, in different orders, the same elements of G .

But, G being a group, the product of any two elements of G is an element of G ; viz. we thus have in general

$$B_i A_{i'} \cdot B_j A_{j'} = B_k A_{k'}; \text{ that is, } B_i B_j = B_k A_{k'} A_{j'}^{-1} A_j^{-1} \quad (i, j, \text{ unequal or equal}),$$

where the B_k is a determinate element of the series $1, B_2, \dots, B_t$, depending only on the elements B_i and B_j into the product of which it enters; and it is in

nowise affected by the before-mentioned indeterminateness of the elements B : say B_i, B_j being any two elements of the series $1, B_2, \dots, B_t$, we have the last preceding equation wherein B_k is a determinate element of the same series.

We may imagine a set of elements $1, B_2, \dots, B_t$ for which, B_i, B_j being any two of them and B_k a third element determined as above, we have always $B_i B_j = B_k$, that is these elements $1, B_2, \dots, B_t$ now form a group, say the group G_1 ; the original elements $1, B_2, \dots, B_t$, (which are subject to a different law of combination $B_i B_j = B_k A_{k'} A_j^{-1} A_j^{-1}$, and do not form a group) are regarded as a mere array connected with this group, and so represented as above by $Q G_1$; and the relation of the original group G to the group Γ_1 (consisting of elements commutative with those of G) and to the new group G_1 is expressed as above by the equation $G = \Gamma_1 \cdot Q G_1, = Q G_1 \cdot \Gamma_1$.

CAMBRIDGE, 2nd June, 1893.